Package Deal: Four Treaties to Fight Pollution

Time for Entry into Force for All

Some very significant milestones of international environmental law have occurred in recent years. First, the Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade entered into force on 24 February 2004. Then, on 17 May 2004 the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) entered into force. And in 2009, the 1996 London Convention Protocol also achieved the requisite ratifications to enter into the force of international law. Governments, intergovernmental bodies and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) alike celebrated the successful adoption of these vital treaties.

Unfortunately, any further celebration must be put on hold, as one of the Package of 4 Toxic Treaties have still not yet entered into international force. The Basel Ban Amendment remains an unfulfilled promise! Before a true celebration can occur, all countries must move swiftly to ensure entry into force of all four of these most significant international efforts to fight deadly toxic pollution. And meanwhile every country that has not yet done so should move with haste to ratify each of the treaties. Each of the “Package of Four” is described below:

1. The Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal together with its Ban Amendment (1995) deals with the control and banning of abusive transboundary movements of hazardous wastes. The Ban Amendment, which prohibits exports of hazardous wastes from the OECD, EC and Liechtenstein to all other countries, was passed twice by consensus; dramatically changing the effect of the original Basel Convention. The 1995 Basel Amendment strengthened the original treaty to such an extent that without the amendment, the original text must be viewed as unacceptably out of date. For more information on the significance of the Basel Ban Amendment see BAN Briefing Paper No. 1. At COP10 in October 2011, it was decided that the amendment will enter into force when 68 of the 90 countries that were Parties to the Convention in 1995 ratified the agreement. To date 53 of these have ratified leaving just 15 more needed. See BAN Briefing Paper No. 1 for more information.

2. The Protocol to the London Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Waste and Other Matter (1996) now in force and thus replaces the original London Dumping Convention (1972). The protocol, rather than prescribing which dumping can take place, takes a precautionary approach to its predecessor. It utilizes a reverse list, which assumes that wastes cannot be dumped in our global commons unless explicitly reviewed and especially listed. Thus the treaty bans virtually all industrial and radioactive waste from being dumped or incinerated at sea.

3. The Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade (1998) was the first international treaty to deal with chemical products. It seeks to ensure that exports of extremely dangerous chemicals only take place with the consent of the recipient country, and replaces the current voluntary prior informed consent regime with a mandatory one. The treaty currently covers 43 chemicals including 32 pesticides and is now in force.

4. The Stockholm Convention (2001) for the first time, aims to eliminate from commercial use and release, 22 of the most dangerous global pollutants including the highly toxic by-products of chlorine chemistry – dioxins and furans. It will minimize the releases of these compounds from a variety of industrial and consumer sources through the substitution of less toxic products and processes. The Stockholm Convention moved from paper promise to international law in just three short years. The success of Stockholm in attaining this goal, much like Rotterdam’s, came about due to the aggressive promotion and support given by the Secretariat of the Convention in getting the Parties to ratify.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Package of Four</th>
<th>Ratifications to Date</th>
<th>Ratifications for Entry into Force</th>
<th>Date of Entry into Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basel Convention with Ban Amendment (Sept. 1995)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68 (of COP3 Parties)</td>
<td>2014?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Convention Protocol (Nov. 1996)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>In force in 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam Convention (Sept. 1998)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>In force in 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm Convention (May 2001)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>In force in 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progress Reports on the “Package of Four”

BAN has compiled a country progress report on ratification of the “Package of Four” – the four most significant global toxics agreements. We will keep this report card up to date on our website (www.ban.org/country_status/report_card.html).

In the table below one can view a summary of the report card showing how many countries have ratified none, one, two, three or all four of the “Package of Four” treaties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of “Package of Four” Ratified</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A review of the report card indicates a somewhat positive trend in ratifications. The numbers of countries receiving failing marks continue to drop in the past few years, while several countries moved up from Fair and Good categories to Very Good and Excellent. The steady increases in countries receiving Very Good, and Excellent marks are a noteworthy step forward.

**Countries notably receiving an “Excellent” grade are:**

Albania, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bolivia, Botswana, Canada, Chile, Cook Islands, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Estonia, Ethiopia, EU, Finland, Gambia, Georgia, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kuwait, Latvia, Lesotho, Liberia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Marshall Islands, Mauritius, Mexico, Montenegro, Morocco, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Paraguay, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, St. Kitts and Nevis, Suriname, Syria, Republic of Macedonia, Tonga, Tanzania, Uruguay, Yemen, and Zambia.

**Countries notably receiving a “Very Good” grade are:**

Belgium, Bulgaria, Chile, China, Denmark, France, Germany, Ghana, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Nigeria, Norway, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, and United Kingdom.

**Countries notably receiving an “Excellent” grade are:**

Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bolivia, Botswana, Canada, Chile, Cook Islands, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Estonia, Ethiopia, EU, Finland, Gambia, Georgia, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kuwait, Latvia, Lesotho, Liberia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Marshall Islands, Mauritius, Mexico, Montenegro, Morocco, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Paraguay, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, St. Kitts and Nevis, Suriname, Syria, Republic of Macedonia, Tonga, Tanzania, Uruguay, Yemen, and Zambia.

**Notable countries receiving a “failing” grade include:**

Bhutan, Grenada, Haiti, Iraq, San Marino, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, United States.

**Getting Serious About a Toxics-Free Future**

It is time for all nations to get serious about the toxics crisis we all face. Around the world in the last 50 years, incidence of most cancers is steadily on the rise, becoming a slow-motion global epidemic. In the United States, from 1950 to 1992, age-adjusted rates of all cancers combined showed an increase of 54%. Also increasing are diseases associated with immune deficiency such as asthma, diabetes and infectious diseases (not including AIDS). 29 types of birth defects are on the rise. Most researchers conclude that many of these increases must be attributable to as yet unexplained environmental pathways.

Meanwhile, 500 new chemicals are introduced into commercial use each year and more than 50,000 of those already in use have never been tested for their teratogenic or carcinogenic effects. At the back end of the chemical cycle, hazardous wastes continue to increase globally, exceeding the rate of economic growth. Much of the worst pollution such as that of mercury and POPs has a disproportionate impact on developing countries and indigenous peoples as many of these products and releases have been phased-out or better controlled in rich countries while global climate distillation creates sinks of high concentrations in arctic regions. Likewise bioaccumulation and biomagnification causes cultures reliant on wild food to suffer disproportionate burdens of toxics in meat and fish.

**Ratify and Implement the “Package of Four” Now**

We face a toxics crisis, which can only be addressed by the will and commitment of all nations on earth. The “Package of Four” existent toxic treaties, although not a complete cure, show much promise and commitment for humanity to begin to turn back the toxic tide.

Realize also that ratification must be accompanied by national legislation faithful to the treaties. Too many countries ratify treaties and yet have no national legal authority to enforce them. Assistance in drafting such legislation is available from NGOs and Secretariats.

The negotiation and signing of a treaty is a promise that nations will, in good faith, fulfill that purpose through timely ratification and implementation into national law. If nations fail to live up to their promises, it is an affront to future generations as well as to the international cooperation and law embodied by the United Nations.

It is urgent then for those that have not yet done so, to initiate at Ministerial level, a comprehensive program for the introduction of the four treaties to their parliaments / legislators as a full package. All are crucial and thus none should be left out. And currently due to the delay in its entry into force, special attention should be given to the Ratification of the Basel Ban Amendment to send a strong message of the will of the global community to ratify it as well. The issues at stake are too vital to leave to further bureaucratic inertia. Without such timely action, cynicism replaces hope with regard to our ability to heal our polluted planet. We can’t afford to let that happen!